

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. *	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/485,845	04/26/2000	HIDENORI FUNAMIZU	2554-7	5849	
759	07/08/2003				
NIXON & VANDERHYE 1100 NORTH GLEBE ROAD 8TH FLOOR			EXAMINER		
			COLEMAN, BRENDA LIBBY		
ARLINGTON, VA 22201-4714			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1624	15	
			DATE MAILED: 07/08/2003	()	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/485,845

Applicant(s)

FUNAMIZU et al.

Examiner

Brenda Coleman

Art Unit **1624**



	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sh	eet with	the correspondence address		
Period 1	for Reply	•				
	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	TO EXPIRE	3	_ MONTH(S) FROM		
- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.						
- If the p - If NO p - Failure - Any re	period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply a to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	and will expire SIX (6) he application to beco	MONTHS f	rom the mailing date of this communication. ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status						
1) 💢	Responsive to communication(s) filed on Apr 28, 2	2003	·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
2a) 🗌	This action is FINAL . 2b) 🔀 This act					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposi	tion of Claims					
4) 💢	Claim(s) 67-76 and 85	*		is/are pending in the application.		
4	a) Of the above, claim(s)			is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
5) 🗆	Claim(s)			is/are allowed.		
6) 💢	Claim(s) 67-76 and 85			is/are rejected.		
7) 🗆	Claim(s)			is/are objected to.		
8) 🗆	Claims are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Applica 9) □	tion Papers The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	a) 🗆 accepte	ed or b)	objected to by the Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) 🗆	a) All b) Some* c) None of:					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the					
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).						
a) U The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
	tice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Su	mmary (PTC	0-413) Paper No(s)		
_	tice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 6) Other:						
						

Art Unit: 1624

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 67-76 and 85 are pending in the application.

This action is in response to applicant's amendment filed April 28, 2003. Claims 67-71 and 73-76 were amended, claims 61-66 and 77-84 were canceled and claim 85 is newly added.

Response to Amendment

Applicant's arguments filed April 28, 2003 have been fully considered with the following effect:

1. With regards to the rejection as being drawn to an improper Markush group of the last office action, the applicant's failed to comment on this rejection and is therefore herein maintained.

Claims 67-76 and newly added claim 85 are rejected as being drawn to an improper Markush grouping. For reasons of record and stated above.

2. With regards to the 35 USC § 112, enablement rejection labeled paragraph 2 of claims 61, 62. 64, 66 and 68-84 maintained in the last office action, the applicant's failed to comment on this rejection and is therefore herein maintained.

Claims 67-76 and newly added claim 85 are rejected under 35 USC § 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. For the reasons stated above and in the last office action.

Application/Control Number: 09/485,845 Page 3

Art Unit: 1624

3. The applicant's amendments are sufficient to overcome the 35 USC § 112, first paragraph rejection labeled 3b maintained in the last office action, which is hereby withdrawn.

- 4. The applicant's amendments are sufficient to overcome the 35 USC § 112, second paragraph rejections labeled 4h), 4q), 4s), 4w) and 7aa) maintained in the last office action, which are hereby withdrawn.
- 5. The applicant's amendments are sufficient to overcome the 35 USC § 112, second paragraph rejections labeled 10e), 10f), 10g-j), 10k) and 10n) maintained in the last office action, which are hereby withdrawn.
- 6. The applicant's amendments are sufficient to overcome the 35 USC § 112, first paragraph rejections labeled 11a), 11b), 11c) and 11d) of the last office action, which are hereby withdrawn. However, with regards to the 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph rejection labeled 11e) of the last office action, the applicant's failed to comment on this rejection and is therefore herein maintained.

Claim 69 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. For reasons of record and stated above.

Application/Control Number: 09/485,845 Page 4

Art Unit: 1624

ť

7. The applicant's amendments are sufficient to overcome the 35 USC § 112, second paragraph rejections labeled 12a)-12y) of the last office action, which are hereby withdrawn.

In view of the amendment dated April 28, 2003, the following new grounds of rejection apply:

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

- 8. Claim 85 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.
 - a) The definition of A₁ includes a moiety which is not described in the specification.

 1,4-dioxo-2,3-dihydro-benzo[1,5]thiazepine is not exemplified in the list of rings which are contemplated for A¹ in the specification on page 11.
 - b) The definition of D where D is -NH-CH₂-CH(OH)-CH₂-NH-CH₂-CH(OH)-CH₃ is not described in the specification.

Applicant is required to cancel the new matter in the reply to this Office action.

Application/Control Number: 09/485,845 Page 5

Art Unit: 1624

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brenda Coleman whose telephone number is (703) 305-1880. The examiner can normally be reached on Mondays and Tuesdays from 9:00 AM to 3:00 PM and from 5:30 PM to 7:30 PM and on Wednesday thru Friday from 9:00 AM to 6:00 PM.

The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 308-4734 for "unofficial" purposes and the actual number for **OFFICIAL** business is **308-4556**.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1235.

Brenda Coleman

Brenda Coleman Primary Examiner AU 1624 July 3, 2003